

**New schemes and Future Years Variations to be added to the Capital Programme for 2021/22 to be approved**

<b>New Capital Project Approval Request</b>	
<b>Directorate:</b>	Families, Children & Learning
<b>Unit:</b>	Education & Skills
<b>Project Title:</b>	Impulse Education Management System
<b>Total Project Cost (All Years) £:</b>	£142,000

<b>Purpose, Benefits &amp; Risks:</b>
<p>The Impulse Education Management System holds details of the city’s pupils attending education settings in the city and further afield. A long standing contract has been held with the supplier, but after on-going contract reviews and additional annual costs it has been determined that a move onto a new contract with an upgrade to the product would both ensure longer term resilience in the pupil database and avoid greater contractual costs in future.</p>

<b>Capital Expenditure Profile (£'000):</b>						
<b>Funding Source (see guidance below)</b>	<b>2021/22</b>	<b>2022/23</b>	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2025/26</b>	<b>Total All Years</b>
Borrowing	142	0	0	0	0	142
<b>Total Estimated Costs &amp; Fees</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>142</b>

<b>Financial Implications:</b>
<p>Capital borrowing with the financing costs met from the service revenue budgets. Costs will be addressed through the budget setting process for 2022/23. There will also be ongoing revenue costs associated with annual support and maintenance plus optional upgrade packages. The revenue costs will be met from existing revenue budgets within the services.</p>

<b>New Capital Project Approval Request</b>	
<b>Directorate:</b>	Environment, Economy & Culture
<b>Unit:</b>	Transport
<b>Project Title:</b>	Safer Streets Fund Streetlighting
<b>Total Project Cost (All Years) £:</b>	£162,000

#### **Purpose, Benefits & Risks:**

Brighton & Hove City Council are to receive £162,000 to make public spaces safer for all as part of the Government's Safer Streets Fund. This third round of Safer Streets funding has a particular focus on making public spaces safer for women and girls. The allocation will be used to improve streetlighting in the Old Steine area of the city. Any works carried out will also help to futureproof the area for CCTV and further lighting improvements to be delivered as part of Phase 3 of the Valley Gardens project. The council was awarded the funding following a bid in partnership with the Sussex Police & Crime Commissioner.

#### **Capital Expenditure Profile (£'000):**

<b>Funding Source (see guidance below)</b>	<b>2021/22</b>	<b>2022/23</b>	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2025/26</b>	<b>Total All Years</b>
Capital Grant (Home Office)	162	0	0	0	0	162
<b>Total Estimated Costs &amp; Fees</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>162</b>

#### **Financial Implications:**

The scheme will be wholly financed through Capital Grant from the Home Office that will be drawn down within the 2021/22 financial year.

<b>New Capital Project Approval Request</b>	
<b>Directorate:</b>	Economy, Environment & Culture
<b>Unit:</b>	Cityparks, City Environmental Management
<b>Project Title:</b>	Stanmer Park Offices
<b>Total Project Cost (All Years) £:</b>	£150,000

#### **Purpose, Benefits & Risks:**

This scheme will install modular office buildings at Stanmer Park to accommodate Cityparks staff including a staff mess room. The works includes two modular buildings, groundworks and connections.

Cityparks offices were based in the walled garden at Stanmer Park initially when this was redeveloped it was intended to move Cityparks base to a new site on the Hangleton Link Road, this was not financially viable. Some staff were moved to Hollingdean Depot and some remained at Stanmer, however, when the main office block in Hollingdean Depot was condemned the Cityparks staff based at the depot were moved to Montague House. Operationally this did not work well and to deal with geographical issues some staff spent part of their week working from parks buildings and break out areas in Civic buildings. During Covid the council has become much more adept at flexible working and in some parts of the service the geographical separation has not been a significant problem however in others notably allotments and arboriculture it has been and there have been significant service delivery issues in these areas partially as a result of lack of suitably located office accommodation. The new proposed offices will provide the best fit for the service and staff to operate.

#### **Capital Expenditure Profile (£'000):**

<b>Funding Source (see guidance below)</b>	<b>2021/22</b>	<b>2022/23</b>	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2025/26</b>	<b>Total All Years</b>
Borrowing	150	0	0	0	0	150
<b>Total Estimated Costs &amp; Fees</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>150</b>

#### **Financial Implications:**

Capital borrowing with the financing costs met from the service revenue budgets. Ongoing running costs associated with the building will be met from within existing revenue budgets.

<b>New Capital Project Approval Request</b>	
<b>Directorate:</b>	Environment, Economy & Culture
<b>Unit:</b>	City Environmental Management (City Parks)
<b>Project Title:</b>	MUGA at Stoneham Park
<b>Total Project Cost (All Years) £:</b>	£112,000

**Purpose, Benefits & Risks:**

Following court action to address noise nuisance from the existing MUGA (Multi Use Games Area) various options have been considered. The option of replacing the existing MUGA with a smaller MUGA and relocate it further away from the affected property was favoured as the most cost-effective approach. This project replaces this old MUGA with a new version and also meets the court requirements for addressing noise nuisance. Risks of this project include any slippage of completing the works by the court deadline.

<b>Capital Expenditure Profile (£'000):</b>						
<b>Funding Source (see guidance below)</b>	<b>2021/22</b>	<b>2022/23</b>	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2025/26</b>	<b>Total All Years</b>
S106 Contributions	20	0	0	0	0	20
Borrowings	92	0	0	0	0	92
<b>Total Estimated Costs &amp; Fees</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>112</b>

**Financial Implications:**

Officers have selected the most cost-effective option to satisfy the magistrates ruling in replacing the MUGA to meet the minimal sound vibration requirements.

The service has identified S106 funding of £20,000 and borrowing of up to £92,000 to meet the investment. The financing costs for the borrowing over a 10-year period are estimated at £10,200 pa funded through corporate provisions. The ongoing operation and maintenance costs associated the site will be met from the existing operations budget within the service.